



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,497	12/26/2001	Thorsten O. Laux	30014200.1020	7951

30412 7590 10/27/2005

SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL
P.O. BOX 061080
WACKER DRIVE STATION,
SEARS TOWER
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080

EXAMINER

TANG, KAREN C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2151

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandow et al hereinafter Brandow (US 6,938,041) in view of Gu et al hereinafter Gu (US 6,892,230).

1. Referring to Claims 18, 21 and 45, Brandow discloses a computer readable medium containing instructions that cause a data processing system to perform a method of providing in a client and server system, at least one client by a server with an instruction format in response to a content data request, the method comprising the step of: providing at least one context data request properties of a content data request made by the client (index, retrieve file, refer to Col 7); preparing the instruction data set having the specified instruction format and including a plurality of instruction element data sets each representing a specified instruction element of the specified instruction format (SQL statements, refer to Col 7 and Col 8); a tree data structure stored in an instruction format configuration file and including a plurality of instruction format nodes, each instruction format node indicating a specified

Art Unit: 2151

combination of instruction elements including the specified instruction format and having associated with it's a node selection criterion, with said determined content data request properties and for selecting an instruction format node whose associated node selection condition matches said determined content data request properties (refer to Col 7, 8, 12, 14-18); and

preparing the instruction data set to be sent to the client by executing instruction element generating applications of the selected instruction format node (refer to Col 19, and 20).

Brandow does not expressly indicate the searching function.

Gu discloses the searching functions (refer to Col 16 to 20).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a searching function while seeking for particular files within the database file.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been by searching the particular files, it would reduce the system error in case when the order of the files placed in the database become unorganized.

2. Referring to Claim 2, and 22, Brandow discloses analyzing and content data request to provide said at lest one client unit related properties (outer joints, refer to Col 7, 9 and 10) and content data related properties (refer to Col 14-19).

3. Referring to Claim 3, and 23, Brandow discloses

Art Unit: 2151

providing for each client as said client unit related properties device properties about the client (refer to Col 19, 22 and 23);

providing as said content data related properties, resource properties about data content resources providing the content data (refer to Col 17, Lines 30-67 and Col 18);

providing as said client unit related properties, properties about the content data

requesting unit used at the client (refer to Col 17, 18, and 19); and

providing as said client unit related properties, properties about commands issued at the client (refer to 19, 20, 21 and 24).

4. Referring to Claim 4, and 24, Brandow discloses wherein a memory (102, refer to Col 5) is provided which includes a first property storage area (107, refer to Col 5) for said client unit related properties and a second storage area for said content data related properties (250, refer to Col 6).

5. Referring to Claims 5, 19, and 25, Brandow discloses comprising the step of analyzing a first content data request to obtain said client unit related properties and said content data related properties, wherein at an arrival of any subsequent content data request in a same session (sending one or more commands at the time to the server, refer to Col 7), one of said first storage area and said second storage area is accessed to provide said at least one of client unit related properties and said content data related properties (refer to Col 6 and 7, and Col 19, 22 and 23).

Art Unit: 2151

6. Referring to Claims 6, 20 and 26, Brandow discloses wherein said node selection condition comprises at least one node selection requirement including at least one property name parameter and an expected property (Event that need scripts, Name, refer to Col 13, Lines 50-67, Col 14, Lines 1-30);

wherein said started at a root instruction format node (index, Col 7, and main program, which performs the searching functions to seek the proper methods, refer to Col 16); wherein a property relating to said property name parameter of said node selection condition of a next instruction format node is requested to be provided for the current data request (one method that allows to provoke another, refer to Col 15 and 16); and wherein when said provided property matches with said expected property, said instruction format selection branches to said next instruction format node (refer to Col 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17).

Brandow does not expressly indicate the searching function.

Gu discloses the searching functions (refer to Col 16 to 20).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a searching function while seeking for particular files within the database file.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been by searching the particular files, it would reduce the system error in case when the order of the files placed in the database become unorganized.

Art Unit: 2151

7. Referring to Claim 7, and 27, Brandow discloses wherein said node selection requirement further comprises a property type parameter indicating a type of property provided (parameters, refer to Col 16, Lines 30-67).

8. Referring to Claim 8, and 28, Brandow discloses wherein said node selection condition further comprises at least one operation condition for logically combining results of at least two requirements (refer to Col 7 and refer to Col 15 and 16).

9. Referring to Claim 9, and 29, Brandow discloses wherein said instruction format formed by instruction elements of a root instruction format node of said tree data structure is a default instruction format (main, refer to Col 16).

10. Referring to Claim 10, and 30, Brandow discloses wherein said default instruction format is an instruction format with an instruction template and a plurality of instruction element positions into which the instruction element generating applications insert instruction element data sets when they are executed (refer to Col 15-20).

11. Referring to Claim 11, and 31, Brandow discloses wherein said instruction format includes an instruction template and a plurality of instruction element positions into which said instruction element generating applications insert instruction element data sets when the area executed (refer to Col 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22).

Art Unit: 2151

12. Referring to Claim 12, and 32, Brandow discloses wherein said instruction element generating application includes a component name of a component to be executed (refer to Col 19).

13. Referring to Claim 13, and 33, Brandow discloses wherein said instruction element generating applications further include an argument name with a substitution name of a substitution component located at a different node (index, Col 7 and Class name, which can call on another class at different node, refer to Col 15, 16).

14. Referring to Claim 14, and 34, Brandow discloses wherein said instruction data set is a set of instruction data for displaying a screen with a particular screen layout format on the client, wherein said instruction template is a screen layout template and said instruction element positions are place holders into which said insert screen element data sets are inserted by said instruction element generating applications when said instruction element generating applications are executed (refer to Col 11, 12, and Col 13).

15. Referring to Claim 15, and 35, Brandow discloses wherein said instruction data set is a set of instruction data for controlling a device with a specified control command layout format on the client, wherein said instruction template is a command layout template and said instruction element positions are command holders into which said

Art Unit: 2151

instruction element generating applications insert command data sets when said instruction element generating applications are executed (refer to Col 11 and Col 12).

16. The method according to claim 31, Brandow discloses the usage of JAVA applications and as well as usage of HTML (refer to Col 1 and 2 and 3).

It is obvious for ordinary skill in the art to exchange XML file with HTML file since both of the files are mark up language.

17. Referring to Claim 17, and 37, Brandow discloses wherein said instruction element generating applications is one of a JAVA servlet and a JAVA server pages program (refer to Col 3).

18. Referring to Claim 42, Brandow discloses one or more clients by a server in a client and server system, with an instruction data set in a specified instruction format in response to a content data request, comprising the steps of:

preparing a tree data structure consisting of a plurality of instruction format nodes, each instruction format node indicating a particular combination of instruction elements including a specified instruction format and having associated with it a node selection criterion (Java software consists of different class and objects, that forms a tree structure, refer to Col 15 and 16); and

tree data structure with content data request properties relating to the content data request sent by the client and for selecting an instruction format node whose associated

Art Unit: 2151

node selection condition matches said content data request properties (table name which matches with the query, refer to Col 7).

Brandow does not expressly indicate the searching function.

Gu discloses the searching functions (refer to Col 16 to 20).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a searching function while seeking for particular files within the database file.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been by searching the particular files, it would reduce the system error in case when the order of the files placed in the database become unorganized.

19. Referring to Claim 44, Brandow discloses a data processing system in a client and server system, the server providing the client with an instruction data set in a specified instruction format in response to a content data request from the client, the system comprising:

a server computer comprising:

a memory including a server program that provides one or more content data request properties of the content data request made by the client (102, Col 5), that prepares the instruction data set having the specified instruction format and including a plurality of instruction element data sets each representing a specified instruction element of the instruction format and generated by at least one instruction element generating application in an instruction format set up sequence, that includes an instruction format

Art Unit: 2151

configuration file (file, refer to Col 18) containing a tree data structure including a plurality of instruction format nodes, each of the instruction format nodes indicating a particular combination of instruction elements having the specified instruction format and having associated with it a node selection criterion, said tree data structure with said determined content data request properties and selects an instruction format node whose associated node selection condition matches said determined content data request properties, and that prepares the instruction data set to be sent to client by executing the instruction element generating application of the selected instruction format node (refer to Col 5-8, and 13); and

a processor that runs said server program (it is inherent that server comprises a processor);

a client computer comprising:

a memory including a client program that provides a content data request to the server, and that received the instruction data set sent by the server (refer to Col 9, and 18); and

a processor that runs said client program (refer to Col 5); and

a network between said server computer and said client computer (refer to Col 6).

Brandow does not expressly indicate the searching function.

Gu discloses the searching functions (refer to Col 16 to 20).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a searching function while seeking for particular files within the database file.

Art Unit: 2151

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been by searching the particular files, it would reduce the system error in case when the order of the files placed in the database become unorganized.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen C Tang whose telephone number is (571)272-3116. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7 - 3.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571)272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KT
Karen Tang

10/17/2005


ZARNI MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER